Hefke said that the conviction of Griffis was "based on concrete evidence" and "definitely did not involve a battle of personalities."

A source in the ASNMU governing structure said that the intent of the AUSJ as a rehabilitative as well as a judicial agency, and thus sentences are sometimes determined by the attitude of the accused.

But the same source said that the judiciary "will not let personality enter into the actual evaluation of evidence."

The ad-hoc committee to the Human Rights Commission released its report after hearing a tape recording of the AUSJ hearing.

Three members of the Commission made up the ad-hoc committee.

University authorities said that the findings of the committee "in no way" influenced the Student Faculty Judiciary in its later decision to acquit Griffis.

RA's...

quist moved a bed while in Griffis' room and said that this turned his action from a legal "survey" into an illegal (under the Student Code of Conduct) "search."

"If we would have been better trained in handling this sort of problem, Berglund said, "I do not think that the 'not guilty' verdict would have been reached."

"We were expected to go into this case like trained detectives," he added. "We just haven't had this type of training."

Berglund said that he and Hallquist were questioned throughout Griffis' two trials "as trained lawyers or detectives would have been questioned."

Berglund said he hopes the training program for resident assistants will be improved.

"I'm really hot on this issue," he noted. "We cannot in this day and age give RA's the same training as in the old days when the resident assistant was 'god' and his word enough to get a person kicked out of school."

"We resident assistants are dealing with a much different caliber of person than in the past," Berglund said. "I know because I came in under the 'old' system."