BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS
COUNTY OF MARQUETTE
JULY 7, 1992

The Marquette County Board of Commissioners met on Tuesday, July 7, 1992, at 7:00 p.m. in Room 231 of the Henry A. Skewis Annex, Marquette, MI.


A Salute to the Flag was given followed by the Pledge of Allegiance.

It was moved by Comm. Arsenaull, seconded by Comm. Seppanen, and unanimously carried that the minutes of the Regular meeting held on June 16, 1992 be approved, and also the Special County Board meeting held on June 9, 1992 be approved with the following correction: that in the last paragraph of the minutes on page 2, the words "and non-union employees" be deleted.

Chairperson Corkin read the following resolution proclaiming July 20, 1992 as Lake Superior Day in Marquette County:

RESOLUTION

WHEREAS, America is blessed with one of the greatest of the great lakes, Lake Superior, straddling the border between the United States and Canada; and

WHEREAS, Lake Baikal, the gem of Siberia, though its surface is only 38 percent as large as Lake Superior's, contains almost twice as much water as its North American Sister; and

WHEREAS, the Superior-Baikal Connect, a kayak expedition linking the world's greatest lakes and their people, is part of the sister lakes initiative between the United States, Canada, and the Soviet Union; and

WHEREAS, this expedition's primary goal is to increase global, national, and regional understanding and appreciation of the world's greatest lakes. Now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that the Marquette County Board of Commissioners, on behalf of the citizens of Marquette County, congratulates the Superior-Baikal Connect for their efforts, and extends a warm "welcome" to our community and sincere wishes for continued success with this excellent program; and be it further

RESOLVED, that the Marquette County Board of Commissioners proclaims Monday, July 20th, 1992, as "Lake Superior Day" in Marquette County.

GERALD O. CORKIN, CHAIRPERSON
MARQUETTE COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

* * * *

Chairperson Corkin opened the meeting for public comment, and noted that those present who wished to speak on item 10a) the contaminated soil incinerator facility, could do so at that time. There being no public comment, Chairperson Corkin closed this portion of the meeting.

It was moved by Comm. Arsenaull, seconded by Comm. Seppanen, and unanimously carried that the agenda be approved as presented.
Informational Items

It was moved by Comm. Seppanen, seconded by Comm. Potvin, and unanimously carried that the following Informational Items be accepted and placed on file: 9a) A memo from James Sodergren, Marquette County Treasurer, regarding Delinquent Tax Notes; 9b) A letter from Senator Carl Levin and a letter from Senator Don Riegle, Jr., regarding PILT legislation; 9c) A notice from the State Tax Commission that the 1992 property tax freeze will not continue in 1993; 9d) Acknowledgment from State Representative David Anthony of the County's resolution on State Revenue Sharing; 9g) Acknowledgment from State Senator Don Koivisto of County Board resolution urging continued funding of Community Corrections; 9h) Letter from the DNR, Marine Safety Division, to County Treasurer, James Sodergren, regarding the 1992 Special Equipment Grant Reimbursement; and 9i) A resolution of Appreciation to retiring Sgt. Leon Korte, of the Sheriff's Department, for services 1969 through 1992.

Informational Items Cont'd.

9e) The County Board considered a memo from the Michigan Association of Counties regarding the court funding lawsuit against the State of Michigan. Marquette County joined in the class action suit and Judge Giddings, in Grand Traverse County, ruled that the State must fund local courts. This first round decision of course will be appealed and eventually heard by the Michigan Supreme Court.

Civil Counsel Payant felt that there are several options possible: First, the decision could be upheld, and funding for the State Courts must be provided since the lawsuit began plus future court funding. Second, the court could rule that only future funding is mandatory. Third, the court could rule that the State has no liability at all. In the meantime, Civil Counsel Payant believes the State of Michigan will look for a settlement.

It was moved by Comm. Seppanen, seconded by Comm. Potvin, and unanimously carried to place the memo on file.

9f) The County Board considered a memo from Annette Smith, Planning Manager, for the Six County Consortium, to Mr. Bob Taylor, Director, Employment Programs Department, explaining that the Monitoring Reports generated from evaluating the Marquette County Employment Title II-A 78% and 6% Programs have found no corrective action required. The letter congratulates Mr. Taylor on delivering a quality program. Comm. Arsenault further pointed out that he has served on the Six County Consortium Board for the past four years, three years on its Program Committee, and commended Bob Taylor for consistently delivering top quality employment programs.

It was moved by Comm. Arsenault, seconded by Comm. Seppanen, and unanimously carried to place the communication on file.

Action Items

10a) The County Board considered a notice of Public Hearing on the application for construction permit for a new solid waste disposal facility for the treatment of fuel contaminated soils by Contaminated Soil Recycling, Inc. (CSR, Inc.) Chairperson Corkin opened the meeting for comment from the public on the proposed facility.

Mike Holman, 9409 U.S. 41, Skandia, reported that over 350 Skandia Township residents have signed a petition opposing the facility site. There are numerous streams near the proposed facility site, including the Whitefish River which is only 750 feet away and runs south of Lake Michigan. This river is being considered by the federal government for wild and scenic river designation. Also the Laughing Whitefish River is within a half mile and heads north to Lake Superior.
Carol Wiater, 219 Lawson Road, Skandia, explained that the proposed site is near her property. She suffers from asthma and has hypersensitive lungs and is concerned about emissions from the incinerator.

Carol Margraf, Regional Director, American Lung Association, 128 Timberlane, pointed out that she will testify regarding the air pollution aspects at the DNR hearing, and is not expecting the County Board to answer her questions, but is using this opportunity to raise a number of concerns which include: What safeguards and monitoring systems will be used to measure emissions? Don't many of the fuel contaminated soils have leaded gasoline in them? Who will monitor the lead levels? Why a permanent site when similar facilities around the State are mobile?

Larry Linna, 318 Center Street, Marquette, and a member of Keep Skandia Clean, pointed out that the Marquette County Health Department did not approve or endorse the project as contended in a recent newspaper article. They are rather committed to protect the soils and the water, and would require all necessary permits to do so.

Gayle Coyer, 745 Yale Rd Road, Skandia, reviewed the application documents at the DNR office. She raised some concerns in light of a recent Supreme Court decision that Counties cannot stop a private operator from accepting outside solid wastes. She read from one of the CSR, Inc. application documents which stated "they currently will only treat fuel contaminated soils." This implies that CSR, Inc. may in the future want to treat other types of soils. Perhaps this is a regional facility. CSR, Inc. also proposes to pick up the contaminated soils with their own trucks, but in the future will allow delivery by other trucks. Who will then monitor what is being brought in for treatment and what pollutants will they be testing for?

Ms. Coyer has worked for a long time with Skandia Township and helped write their grant application for landfill closure. The Skandia Township Board is committed to making good environmental decisions and will not leap on the CSR, Inc. proposal for the sake of a few jobs.

Chairperson Corkin closed the issue for public comment. A lengthy discussion by the Committee of the Whole followed.

It was moved by Comm. Seppanen, seconded by Comm. Potvin (for discussion purposes), that the following resolution be sent by the County Board to the DNR public hearing scheduled for Thursday, July 9, 1992.

BE IT RESOLVED that the Marquette County Board of Commissioners is opposed to the location of a Soil Contamination Incinerator Facility at the Silta site because of the following conflicts it promotes with the Marquette County Comprehensive Plan:

1. Discourages development in unsuitable areas such as those containing steep slopes, high water tables, wetlands, or shallow soils or areas adjacent to airports or other activities detrimental to human health, safety, and welfare.

2. Encourages preservation of environmentally fragile and sensitive areas such as wetlands, swamps, marshes, dunes, and aquifer recharge areas.

3. Avoid conflicts between developmental and non-developmental uses.

4. Environmental integrity should be recognized as an important factor involved in solid waste management, and short-range expediences should be avoided in the interests of overall long-range management objectives."

* * * * *
Comm. Potvin contended that similar processes are being used to treat fuel contaminated soils in other counties. There is also a pilot project currently going on in Marquette County which will treat a large pile of contaminated soil located at the Airport. No one is complaining. Is this treatment system no good, or is Skandia Township just saying that we don’t want it in our township? Something must be done with these contaminated soils that are piled all over Marquette County.

Comm. Corkin pointed out that the Board does not have a direct role in the approval process; however, can give its opinion like anyone else. He has reviewed the Planning Commission’s materials and the recommendations from Planning Staff on site sensitivity. If he was to express in his opinion, he would do so in favor of the environment and not support the project at this site.

Comm. Arsenaught pointed out that it is not the process being challenged here, but rather the site sensitivity. There are other places in the County that would be acceptable to treat fuel contaminated soils. Also, those treatment facilities in other counties are not at permanent sites, but are mobile plants and move to the contamination area.

The Commissioners generally expressed faith in the Michigan DNR to rule on the safety and appropriateness of the Skandia site.


It was moved by Comm. Arsenaught, seconded by Comm. Seppanen, and unanimously carried that Action Items 10b), 10c), 10d), 10e), 10f), and 10g), be approved as follows:

10b) A Committee of the Whole recommendation to approve a wage and benefit package for approximately 45 non-union County employees as follows:

1) Wage increase
   - first year 4%
   - second year 4%
   - third year 4%
2) E-2 retirement rider the third year (1994).
3) Increased vacation accumulation cap from 200 hours to 240 hours.
4) Longevity: parity with the Courthouse Union in longevity tables.
5) Same insurance package as the Courthouse Union.
6) The wage increase is retroactive to January 1, 1992.

10c) A Committee of the Whole recommendation that the County put the Sugar Loaf Repair Project out for bids and approve the following budget amendment:

RESOLUTION AMENDING GENERAL FUND BUDGET
Fiscal Year 1992 Amendment No. 39

WHEREAS, budgets were adopted by the County Board on October 8, 1991, to govern the receipts and expenditures of the various County funds for the next fiscal year of the County; and

WHEREAS, as a result of unanticipated changes in revenues and/or needed expenditures, it is necessary to modify the aforesaid budget; and

WHEREAS, such modification will still maintain a balanced budget between revenues and expenditures as required by P.A. 621 of 1978.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the aforesaid budget be hereby modified as follows:
Expenses Budget Act. Previous Budget Am. Amended Budget Am. Change

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>General Fund</th>
<th>Previous Budget Am.</th>
<th>Amended Budget Am.</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wage &amp; Benefits</td>
<td>2,976.00</td>
<td>4,476.00</td>
<td>1,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bldg. &amp; Ground Expense</td>
<td>813.00</td>
<td>2,313.00</td>
<td>1,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resource Mgmt - Other Facilities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional Services</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>4,500.00</td>
<td>4,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advertising</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>500.00</td>
<td>500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>57,970.00</td>
<td>57,970.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>3,789.00</td>
<td>69,759.00</td>
<td>66,970.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Revenue Budget Act. Previous Budget Am. Amended Budget Am. Change

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>General Fund</th>
<th>Previous Budget Am.</th>
<th>Amended Budget Am.</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Resource Mgmt - Other Facilities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insurance Claim Reimbursement</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>3,000.00</td>
<td>3,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resource Mgmt - Sugarloaf Stairs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insurance Claim Reimbursement</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>62,970.00</td>
<td>62,970.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>65,970.00</td>
<td>65,970.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Motion was made by Comm. Arsenaulet, Seconded by Comm. Seppanen, to adopt the foregoing resolution. Upon roll call vote, the following vote was recorded:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Aye</th>
<th>Nay</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L. Angeli</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. Arsenaulet</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Potvin</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

G. Seppanen X | |

G. Corkin | |

The Chairperson declared the motion carried and the resolution duly adopted.

10d) A Committee of the Whole recommends to approve this budget amendment to increase the Construction Code overtime line item as follows:

RESOLUTION AMENDING GENERAL FUND BUDGET
Fiscal Year 1992 Amendment No. 37

WHEREAS, budgets were adopted by the County Board on October 8, 1991, to govern the receipts and expenditures of the various County funds for the next fiscal year of the County; and

WHEREAS, as a result of unanticipated changes in revenues and/or needed expenditures, it is necessary to modify the aforesaid budget; and

WHEREAS, such modifications will still maintain a balanced budget between revenues and expenditures as required by P.A. 621 of 1978.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the aforesaid budget be hereby modified as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expense Budget Act.</th>
<th>Previous Budget Am.</th>
<th>Amended Budget Am.</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General Fund</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resource Mgmt - Building Code</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overtime</td>
<td>1,200.00</td>
<td>5,000.00</td>
<td>3,800.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingency Account</td>
<td>107,562.00</td>
<td>103,762.00</td>
<td>(3,800.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Expense Changes</td>
<td>108,762.00</td>
<td>108,762.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MSS176_31_1_04.tif
BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS  COUNTY OF MARQUETTE  JULY 7, 1992

General Fund

No Changes Made  0.00  0.00  0.00 (+)

Total Revenue Changes  0.00  0.00  0.00

Motion was made by Comm. Arsenault, Seconded by Comm. Seppanen, to adopt the foregoing resolution. Upon roll call vote, the following vote was recorded:

L. Angeli  Absent
P. Arsenault  X
D. Potvin  X
G. Seppanen  X
G. Corkin  X

The Chairperson declared the motion carried and the resolution duly adopted.

* * * * *

10e) A Committee of the Whole recommendation to approve the purchase of FAX equipment for the 96th District Court and for the Prosecutor's Office, and further direct them to negotiate with a local vendor for a price near the catalog price, funds to come from contingency as follows:

RESOLUTION AMENDING PUBLIC IMPROVEMENT FUND BUDGET
Fiscal Year 1992 Amendment No. 40

WHEREAS, budgets were adopted by the County Board on October 8, 1991, to govern the receipts and expenditures of the various County funds for the next fiscal year of the County; and

WHEREAS, as a result of unanticipated changes in revenues and/or needed expenditures, it is necessary to modify the aforesaid budget; and

WHEREAS, such modification will still maintain a balanced budget between revenues and expenditures as required by P.A. 621 of 1978.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the aforesaid budget be hereby modified as follows:

Expense Budget Acct.  Previous Budget Amt.  Amended Budget Amt.  Change
Public Improvement Fund
Smaller Projects - Fax Machines  0.00  3,460.00  3,460.00 (+)

Totals  0.00  3,460.00  3,460.00

Public Improvement Fund
Transfer from General Fund  201,970.00  205,430.00  3,460.00 (+)

Totals  201,970.00  205,430.00  3,460.00

Motion was made by Comm. Arsenault, Seconded by Comm. Seppanen, to adopt the foregoing resolution. Upon roll call vote, the following vote was recorded:

L. Angeli  Absent
P. Arsenault  X
D. Potvin  X
G. Seppanen  X
G. Corkin  X

The Chairperson declared the motion carried and the resolution duly adopted.
RESOLUTION AMENDING GENERAL FUND BUDGET
Fiscal Year 1992 Amendment No. 35

WHEREAS, budgets were adopted by the County Board on October 8, 1991, to govern the receipts and expenditures of the various County funds for the next fiscal year of the County; and

WHEREAS, as a result of unanticipated changes in revenues and/or needed expenditures, it is necessary to modify the aforesaid budget; and

WHEREAS, such modification will still maintain a balanced budget between revenues and expenditures as required by P.A. 621 of 1978.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the aforesaid budget be hereby modified as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Previous Budget Amt.</th>
<th>Amended Budget Amt.</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General Fund Special Appropriations</td>
<td>201,970.00</td>
<td>205,430.00</td>
<td>3,460.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approp. to Public Improv.</td>
<td>111,022.00</td>
<td>107,562.00</td>
<td>(3,460.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingency Account</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Expenditure Changes</td>
<td>312,992.00</td>
<td>312,992.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Previous Budget Amt.</th>
<th>Amended Budget Amt.</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General Fund No Changes Made</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Revenue Changes</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Motion was made by Comm. Arsenault, Seconded by Comm. Seppanen, to adopt the foregoing resolution. Upon roll call vote, the following vote was recorded:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Aye</th>
<th>Nay</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L. Angeli</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. Arsenault</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Potvin</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Chairperson declared the motion carried and the resolution duly adopted.

* * * *

10ff) A Committee of the Whole recommendation to appropriate $1,000.00 towards to total of $4,000.00 ($2,000.00 Michigan State Police grant, $1,000.00 donations) for the purchase of the Amateur Radio Emergency Services Communications Equipment, budget amendment as follows:

RESOLUTION AMENDING GENERAL FUND BUDGET
Fiscal Year 1992 Amendment No. 36

WHEREAS, budgets were adopted by the County Board on October 8, 1991, to govern the receipts and expenditures of the various County funds for the next fiscal year of the County; and

WHEREAS, as a result of unanticipated changes in revenues and/or needed expenditures, it is necessary to modify the aforesaid budget; and

WHEREAS, such modification will still maintain a balanced budget between revenues and expenditures as required by P.A. 621 of 1978.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the aforesaid budget be hereby modified as follows:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Board of Commissioners</th>
<th>County of Marquette</th>
<th>July 7, 1992</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expense Budget Acct.</th>
<th>Previous Budget Amt.</th>
<th>Amended Budget Amt.</th>
<th>Change (+/-)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General Fund</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheriff-Emergency Services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>4,000.00</td>
<td>4,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingency Account</td>
<td>98,762.00</td>
<td>97,762.00</td>
<td>(1,000.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Totals</strong></td>
<td><strong>98,762.00</strong></td>
<td><strong>101,762.00</strong></td>
<td><strong>3,000.00</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rev. Budget Acct.</th>
<th>Previous Budget Amt.</th>
<th>Amended Budget Amt.</th>
<th>Change (+/-)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General Fund</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheriff-Emergency Services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F.E.M.A. Grant</td>
<td>26,500.00</td>
<td>28,500.00</td>
<td>2,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Contributions</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1,000.00</td>
<td>1,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Totals</strong></td>
<td><strong>26,500.00</strong></td>
<td><strong>29,500.00</strong></td>
<td><strong>3,000.00</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Motion was made by Comm. Arsennault, Seconded by Comm. Seppanen, to adopt the foregoing resolution. Upon roll call vote, the following vote was recorded:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Aye</th>
<th>Nay</th>
<th>Aye</th>
<th>Nay</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L. Angeli</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Potvin</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. Arsennault</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>G. Seppanen</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>G. Corkin</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Chairperson declared the motion carried and the resolution duly adopted.

***

10g) A Committee of the Whole recommendation to appropriate an additional $5,000.00 to the Specific Ore Tax Litigation Fund from Contingency, and further pay the County's present share of the billing amounting to $3,728.12, budget amendment as follows:

**RESOLUTION AMENDING GENERAL FUND BUDGET**

Fiscal Year 1992 Amendment No. 38

WHEREAS, budgets were adopted by the County Board on October 8, 1991, to govern the receipts and expenditures of the various County funds for the next fiscal year of the County; and

WHEREAS, as a result of unanticipated changes in revenues and/or needed expenditures, it is necessary to modify the aforesaid budget; and

WHEREAS, such modification will still maintain a balanced budget between revenues and expenditures as required by P.A. 621 of 1978.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the aforesaid budget be hereby modified as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expense Budget Acct.</th>
<th>Previous Budget Amt.</th>
<th>Amended Budget Amt.</th>
<th>Change (+/-)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General Fund</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Appropriations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific Tax Litigation</td>
<td>5,000.00</td>
<td>10,000.00</td>
<td>5,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingency</td>
<td>103,762.00</td>
<td>98,762.00</td>
<td>(5,000.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Totals</strong></td>
<td><strong>108,762.00</strong></td>
<td><strong>108,762.00</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.00</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
---|---|---|---
General Fund | | | 
No Changes Made | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00

Totals 0.00 0.00 0.00

Motion was made by Comm. Arsenault, Seconded by Comm. Seppanen, to adopt the foregoing resolution. Upon roll call vote, the following vote was recorded:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Aye</th>
<th>Nay</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L. Angeli</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>G. Seppanen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. Arsenault</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>G. Corkin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Potvin</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Chairperson declared the motion carried and the resolution duly adopted.

** ** **

Action Items Cont'd.

10h) The County Board considered appointment to a vacancy on the Community Mental Health Board. On June 16, 1992 the County Board appointed Don Simila; however, it has since been learned that Mr. Simila cannot serve on the Mental Health Board. He is employed by Marquette General Hospital as an Addictions Counselor. MGH has contractual relationships with Community Mental Health. The County Board considered appointment from the remaining five nominees.

Clerk Roberts conducted the roll call balloting and Orajane Saari, Republic Township, was appointed to fill the vacancy on the Community Mental Health Board, term expiring 12/31/93.

Chairperson Corkin opened the meeting for public comment, none was forthcoming.

Commissioner Comment

Chairperson Corkin publicly thanked Comm. Arsenault for his fine work and effort in the planning and development of the 9-1-1 System as the County Board liaison and Chair of the 9-1-1 Implementation Committee.

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned.

Respectfully submitted,

[Signature]

David J. Roberts
Marquette County Clerk
MARQUETTE COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS
Regular Meeting, Tuesday, July 7, 1992, 7:00 p.m.
Room 231, Henry A. Skewis Annex
Marquette, Michigan 49855

1. ROLL CALL.
2. SALUTE TO THE FLAG AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.
4. PROCLAMATIONS, PRESENTATIONS AND AWARDS:
   a. Welcome to the Superior - Baikal Connect Expedition Participants.
5. PUBLIC COMMENT. (time limit 20 minutes total)
6. APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA.
7. PUBLIC HEARINGS. (None).
8. PRIVILEGED COMMENT.
9. INFORMATIONAL ITEMS:
   a. A memo from James Sodergren, Marquette County Treasurer, regarding Delinquent Tax Notes.
   b. A letter from Senator Carl Levin and a letter from Senator Don Riegle, Jr., regarding PILT Legislation.
   c. A notice from the State Tax Commission that the 1992 property tax freeze will not continue in 1993.
   d. Acknowledgment from State Representative David Anthony of the County’s resolution on State Revenue Sharing.
   e. A notice from the Michigan Association of Counties that Judge Giddings ruled in favor of County and Local Court Funding.
   f. A monitoring report from the Six County Consortium performed on Title II-A 78% and 6% programs showing no areas requiring correction with congratulations to Bob Taylor, Employment Programs Director, for delivering a quality program.
   g. Acknowledgment from State Senator Don Koivisto of County Board resolution urging continued funding of Community Corrections.
   h. Letter from the DNR, Marine Safety Division, to County Treasurer, James Sodergren, regarding the 1992 Special Equipment Grant Reimbursement.
10. ACTION ITEMS:
    b. Committee of the Whole recommendation to approve wages and benefits for approximately 45 non-union County employees.
    c. Committee of the Whole recommendation to place the Sugar Loaf Mountain Repair Project out for bids.
    d. Committee of the Whole recommendation to increase the Construction Code Dept. overtime line item by $3,800.00.
    e. Committee of the Whole recommendation to approve the purchase of FAX equipment for the 96th District Court and the Prosecutor’s Office.
10. ACTION ITEMS: Cont'd.
   f. Committee of the Whole recommendation to approve a MSP grant and purchase of Amateur Radio Emergency Services Communications Equipment with County share of $1,000.00.
   g. Committee of the Whole recommendation to appropriate additional funds for Specific Ore Tax Litigation and pay the present County billing.
   h. Appointment to a vacancy on the Community Mental Health Board.
11. LATE ADDITIONS:
   a.
   b.
12. PUBLIC COMMENT. (time limit 20 minutes total)
13. COMMISSIONERS COMMENTS AND ANNOUNCEMENTS.
14. ADJOURNMENT.